

## MESSAGE

MR. SPEAKER.—I have received the following message from the Chairman, Legislative Council.

“As required by rule 106 of the rules of procedure and conduct of business in the Karnataka Legislative Council, I send herewith the Karnataka Municipal Corporations (Amendment), 1981 which has been agreed to by the Legislative Council on 27th July, 1981 without Amendments.”

### CALLING ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

*Irregularities committed by the nominated Committee of Management of Heggur VSSN Bannur Hobli, T. Narsipur Taluk, Mysore District*

SRI K. MADEGOWDA.—Sir, I call the attention of the Hon. Minister for Co-operation to the irregularities committed by the nominated Committee of Management, Heggur V. S. S. N. Bannur Hobli, T. Narasipur Taluk, Mysore District.

SRI H. C. SRIKANTIAH (Minister for Co-operation).—Sir, I wish to make the following statement.

(a) The Committee of Management of the erstwhile Heggur SCS in the committee meeting held on 9-7-1980 vide resolution No. 3 have resolved to sanction loans to certain persons but actually the loans have been sanctioned to the persons other than to whom the Management have resolved to sanction loans and the Bannur Indian Over Sease Bank have not sanctioned any loans to these persons. There are instances of defaulting Members and the Directors have also been disbursed with M. T. loans.

(b) The TAPCMS T. N. Pur has filed dispute before the Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Mysore for the recovery of Leavy Paddy amount.

The TAPCMS Chamarajanagar is also issued notices to this VSSN for a similar claim. These disputes are at various stages of examination and upon the findings in the respective cases, action deemed fit will be taken against the concerned.

(c) About convening of the meetings of the Committee of Management it is observed that five meetings of the committee have been convened and there is no evidence to show that these meetings are convened after issuing of 7 days notices to all the Directors

concerned as required under the bye-laws and the meetings have not been held in the regular intervals as prescribed in the bye-laws.

(d) There are instances of irregular appointments in the Society. One of the Director's son has been appointed as a clerk in the society and the President's brother's son has also been appointed as a clerk. Action will be taken wherever irregularities are committed in these appointments to rectify the irregularities.

(e) Regarding purchase of cloths and kerosene, certain deviations have been made in the procedure prescribed for purchases and action will be taken to punish these responsible for the same after the completion of audit and enquiry.

(f) With regard to the advances made to the Directors and staff, the Secretary of the Society has drawn an advance on several occasions for purchases etc and an amount of Rs. 5,000 drawn by him is outstanding and the same will be recovered and necessary action will be taken against him and no advances have been made to the Directors.

(g) There are two directors who are defaulters to the Society and action will be taken as per the provision of the Section 29 C of the KCS Act.

(h) With regard to the verification of stocks and shortages the annual stock verification work has been entrusted to the sale Office<sup>r</sup> T. N. pur and a report is awaited as the stock verification is still under progress.

(i) About the unnecessary purchases without budget provision, it is noticed that one Almirah and some folding chairs have been purchased without budget provision for a sum of Rs. 1,130 and no procedure has been followed for making such purchases. Though the purchases made cannot be totally called unnecessary the procedure followed needs to be examined and if any irregularities noticed necessary action on the concerned will be taken.

(j) An advance of Rs. 200 to Heggur Shop premises and Rs. 500 to Nanjapur Shop premises have been made and the same will be examined to verify whether it is unnecessary and whether proper procedure is followed or not.

(k) An amount of Rs. 309.75 is paid as T. A. to Shri R. Subbanna in charge Secretary and to Co-operative Extension Officer T. N. Pur vide voucher No. 259 dated 11-2-1981 for which there are no T. A. bill particulars, necessary action will be taken on the same.

(l) With regard to the names and addresses of the Directors two Typographical errors were noticed in the Gazette notification in respect of two Directors. The Directors on the Board or the proper persons have been nominated by the Government.

(m) With regard to complaints of denial of membership to the persons belonging to S. C. and S. T. it is noticed that about 30 cases are pending with the society for want of payment of share value.

(n) With regard to irregularities in maintenance of books and registers the same will be examined at the time of audit and enquiry. The President has deposited a sum of Rs. 8,000 to facilitate the purchases under suspense advance and he has taken back the same after some time. It is fact that Rs. 1.90 lakhs was kept in the personal account of the in charge Secretary.

To enquire into the allegations made and certain irregularities noticed an enquiry under Section 64 of KCS Act will be ordered and action will be taken on those found responsible and action will also be taken if necessary under Section 29 (C) and 126 (A) of the KCS Act, also.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಮಾದೇಗೌಡ (ಬನ್ನಾರು).—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ನಾವು ಈ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಿರ ತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಇಂಫಾರ್ಮ್ಯಾಲ್ಯಾಟೀಸ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ಸ್ ಗಳೆಲ್ಲವೂ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿವೆ ಎಂದು ಸೊಸೈಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಂಫಾರ್ಮ್ಯಾಲ್ಯಾಟೀಸ್ ನಡೆದಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡ ಮೇಲೆ, ಉಂಟಾದ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥದ್ದು ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ರೀತಿ ಲೋನ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಹಿಂದೆ ಅವರು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರ ತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ತಿ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ನಂತರ ಮತ್ತೆ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕಂಥದ್ದು ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕಿನಿಂದ ಉಂಟಾದ ಲೋನ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವವರು ಯಾರು ಎಂದರೆ, ಯಾರಿಗೆ ಲೋನ್ ಸ್ಟಾಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೋ ಅವರನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಡಿಫಾಲ್ಟ್ ಆಗಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಉಂಟಾದ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥದ್ದು ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ನಾವು ಕಾಲಿಂಗ್ ಅಟೆಂಷನ್ ಮೂಲಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರ ಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್‌ನವರಿಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟಾದರೂ ಕೊಡ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಿಳು ವಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೂ ಯಾವ ವಿಧವಾದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ವಿಚಾರ ಬಂದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಸರಿಪಡಿಸುತ್ತೀರಿ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು ? ಮತ್ತೆ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗೆ ಸ್ಟಾಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಆಗಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಹಣ ಒಂದು ಲಕ್ಷ ತೊಂಬತ್ತು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟ್‌ರು ಮತ್ತು ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರು ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದು ಹಣವನ್ನು ಬ್ಯಾಂಕಿನಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದು 31 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ವೆಂಟ್‌ನ ಅಕೌಂಟಿನಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟು ಬಡ್ತಿ ಹಾಕಿದೆಯೇ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಅವ್ಯವಹಾರ ನಡೆಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದರೂ ಕೊಡ ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಾವ ವಿಧವಾದ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಎನ್‌ಕ್ಯೂಯರಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಆರ್ಡರ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಇದೇ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಎನ್‌ಕ್ಯೂಯರಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯ ವಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಅವ್ಯವಹಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ತೊಡಗಿರುವ ಈ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್‌ ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರೇಂಬುದನ್ನು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್.ಸಿ. ಶ್ರೀಕಂಠಯ್ಯ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಈ ಒಂದು ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರಗ್ಯಾ ಲಾರಟೀಸ್ ಆಗಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟು ಕೊಂಡು ತನಿಖೆ ನಡೆಸುವುದು ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸರು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ

ಒಂದು ಕಮಿಟಿಯನ್ನು ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ವಜಾ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಅದರ ತನಿಖೆ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಆ ನಂತರ ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸುವುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈಗ ನನ್ನ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಈ ಒಂದು ಮಾನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟನ್ನು ರದ್ದು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿರುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಎರಡು ರಿಟ್ ಪಿಟಿಷನ್ಸ್ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪೆಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಇವೆ. ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಮಾನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟನ್ನು ರದ್ದು ಮಾಡಿ ತನಿಖೆಯನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಬೇಕೆನ್ನುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಕಾಲಾಂಕಷವಾಗಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ನಡೆಸುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಭೆಯ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

### MATTERS UNDER RULE 312

#### i) *Re. Abolition of Carry over system in I year P.U.C.*

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM (K. G. F.).—Sir, this is a matter pertaining to about eight to ten thousand students. Sir, in the educational course, after S.S.L.C., examination, in one way or the other, there is a carry-over system in the country. The carry-over system was in vogue for two years Pre-University course and also for B.A./B.Sc./M.A. M.Sc., courses. The Director of Pre-University Education Board has recommended to the Government to do away with the public examination of Pre-University first year and make it a class examination and also he has suggested that the carry-over system in First year Pre-University should not be there. As a result, a large number of students who were studying in first year Pre-university and who have failed in one or two subjects, could not get through and they cannot be promoted or they cannot be placed in the second year. Hitherto, we had one kind of syllabus and from this year onwards, we are going to have a different kind of syllabus. Another point is, the result of S.S.L.C., examination this year is very poor. The Director of the S.S.L.C., examination Board has said that the poor result is due to the enriched syllabus. Because of the changed and enriched syllabus in S.S.L.C., they are having enriched syllabus for the Pre-university second year also. This is the last year of the previous syllabus. We are appealing to the Government to permit these boys to go to second year P.U.C., and also to permit them to sit for the examination in September 1981, March 1982 and also in September 1982. This will be the last batch and we are not asking to continue it though we are entitled to say that the system should be allowed for five more years since it was in vogue all these years. You may say that the boys were not disciplined and they were not studying. But when a system is prevalent in Pre-university second year, why not in Pre-university first year? That is our submission. Two year's Pre-university course is a combination course and it is not separate. You may have the class examination for various reasons. But, that does not mean that you must stop the system which was